

Brains and the city in passerine birds: re-analysis and confirmation of the original result

Alexei A. Maklakov, Simone Immler, Alejandro Gonzalez-Voyer, Johanna Rönk and Niclas Kolm

Biol. Lett. 2013 **9**, 20130859, published 13 November 2013

References

This article cites 2 articles, 1 of which can be accessed free

<http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/9/6/20130859.full.html#ref-list-1>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click [here](#)



Comment

Cite this article: Maklakov AA, Immler S, Gonzalez-Voyer A, Rönn J, Kolm N. 2013 Brains and the city in passerine birds: re-analysis and confirmation of the original result. *Biol Lett* 9: 20130859.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2013.0859>

Received: 4 October 2013

Accepted: 21 October 2013

Author for correspondence:

Alexei A. Maklakov

e-mail: alexei.maklakov@ebc.uu.se

Evolutionary biology

Brains and the city in passerine birds: re-analysis and confirmation of the original result

Alexei A. Maklakov¹, Simone Immler², Alejandro Gonzalez-Voyer³,
Johanna Rönn¹ and Niclas Kolm⁴

¹Department of Animal Ecology, and ²Department of Evolutionary Biology, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

³Conservation and Evolutionary Genetics Group, Estación Biológica de Doñana, Seville, Spain

⁴Department of Zoology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

Our original paper [1] included two Bayesian analyses [2] of the association between brain size and the probability of a passerine species of bird breeding in the city centre—at the level of families and at the level of individual species—with both analyses suggesting the same pattern. It has since been brought to our attention that in one of the analyses at the level of individual species, the residual variance was not fixed to 1 resulting in overestimation of the variance. We re-ran the analysis using fixed residual variance and the results support the original conclusion that relative brain size is associated with breeding in the city centre (ln brain size: posterior mean, 324.53, 95% credibility interval, 52.61–601.35; ln body size: posterior mean, –276.22, 95% credibility interval, –490.60 to –70.32). Furthermore, we applied a complimentary approach using logistic regression to test whether brain size predicts breeding in the city centre (yes/no) without accounting for phylogeny. This analysis also resulted in a significant positive association between brain size and breeding in city centres (likelihood ratio tests: ln brain size: d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 11.08$, $p = 0.0009$; ln body size: d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 11.26$, $p = 0.0008$). Thus, our results are confirmed by both phylogenetic and non-phylogenetic analyses.

Acknowledgement. We thank Arild Husby for pointing out the initial problem.

Funding statement. This study was supported by the Swedish Research Council and the European Research Council Starting Grant 2010.

References

1. Maklakov AA, Immler S, Gonzalez-Voyer A, Rönn J, Kolm N. 2011 Brains and the city: big-brained passerine birds succeed in urban environments. *Biol. Lett.* **7**, 730–732. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2011.0341)
2. Hadfield JD, Nakagawa S. 2010 General quantitative genetic methods for comparative biology: phylogenies, taxonomies and multi-trait models for continuous and categorical characters. *J. Evol. Biol.* **23**, 494–508. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01915.x)